

## SGXPecial: Specializing SGX Interfaces Against Code Reuse Attacks







## SGXPecial: Specializing SGX Interfaces Against Code Reuse Attacks





mikepo@cs.stonybrook.edu

### Outline

# Code Reuse Attacks Attack Surface Reduction

# Intel SGXInterfaces

#### SGXPecial

#### Code Reuse Attacks 101

An adversary can **re**use benign code from a process' address space

- Attacker looks for gadgets in the address space
- Chain gadgets together to achieve arbitrary functionality



#### **Application Debloating**

Remove unneeded code/functions to restrict attacker's capabilities



#### **Argument-level Specialization**

Not all functions can be removed by function debloating



## Control Flow Integrity (CFI)

Runtime enforcement technique to prevent control flow hijacking

- Find set of valid targets for every control flow
- > Ensure that control flow transfers are only made to these pre-decided sets



### So... What happens now?

Not every invocation of a library function is same

- Attackers now are restricted to use specific control flows
- Not all critical function paths are equally protected by CFI rules



### Outline

# Code Reuse Attacks Attack Surface Reduction

# Intel SGXInterfaces

#### SGXPecial

## Intel Software Guard Extensions (SGX)

Secret Region "Enclave" protected from malicious actors



#### SGX SDK: Attack Surface

Intel SGX SDK is the most popular tool for building SGX applications



#### Code Reuse Attacks in SGX: Malicious Host

#### Standard SGX Threat Model

- Everything outside the enclave is untrusted
- OS loads the encrypted enclave binary
- SGX provides Confidentiality and Integrity to the encrypted enclave region



#### Guard's Dilemma<sup>[1]</sup>

#### ROP attack that uses gadgets from SDK libraries

- Malicious host exploits an in-enclave bug
- Uses gadgets from tRTS to restore to a fake state introduced by the host
- No backward CFI in SGX



[1] The Guard's Dilemma: Efficient Code-Reuse Attacks Against Intel {SGX}. In 27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18)

#### Code Reuse Attacks in SGX: Malicious Enclave

Intel SGX does not guarantee that the code executed in the enclave is from a trusted source - Intel

- Enclaves are black boxes
- Application and OS can not look inside the enclaves
- What if they come from untrusted sources? What if they contain malicious code?



#### SGX-ROP<sup>[1]</sup>

#### Uses Intel TSX to look for gadgets in host application

- Reads and Writes are atomic
- Error -> Abort and Rollback
- Uses Read and Write abilities of Enclave to read gadgets and inject ROP chains



[1] Practical enclave malware with Intel SGX. In International Conference on Detection of Intrusions and Malware, and Vulnerability Assessment (DIMVA 2019)

#### **Two-sided Attack Surface**



The Guard's Dilemma: Efficient Code-Reuse Attacks Against Intel (SGX). In 27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18)
 Hacking in darkness: Return oriented programming against secure enclaves. In 26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 17)
 SCXIal: Detening Enclave Makare via Confinement. In 22nd International Symposium on Research in Attacks, Intrusions and Defenses (RAID 2019)
 SCXIal: Detening Enclave Makare via Confinement. In 22nd International Symposium on Research in Attacks, Intrusions and Defenses (RAID 2019)

TeeRex: Discovery and Exploitation of Memory Corruption Vulnerabilities in SGX Enclaves. In 29th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 20)
 Practical enclave malware with Intel SGX. In International Conference on Detection of Intrusions and Malware, and Vulnerability Assessment (DIMVA 2019)

### Outline

# Code Reuse Attacks Attack Surface Reduction

# Intel SGXInterfaces



#### **SGXPecial**

Specialize SGX Interfaces according to individual applications



#### **Function Level Specialization**

Identify functions that the application and enclave use.

- Analyze application and create specialized interfaces
- Functions might be used by the enclave for bookkeeping
- do\_egetkey() used to get SGX
  keys; but, not used by application



#### **Context-Sensitive Argument Specialization**

Extract and Neutralize Static Arguments to function calls



## **Type-based Filtering**

SGXPecial: Verifies types of arguments marshalled for ECALLs/OCALLs

- Host can not read/write enclave memory
- Parameters are marshalled from the host application to the enclave, and return values are un-marshalled
- Generic marshalling functions used for all ECALLs/OCALLs



#### **Evaluation:** Applications

#### Tested with Sample and Real-world Applications



## Security Case Study: Guard's Dilemma<sup>[1]</sup>

#### ROP attack that uses gadgets from tRTS

- Vulnerable enclave code calls asm\_oret()
- asm\_oret() →
   xregs\_restore()
- SGXPecial identifies asm\_oret() not used by enclave



[1] The Guard's Dilemma: Efficient Code-Reuse Attacks Against Intel {SGX}. In 27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18)

#### Security Case Study: SGX-ROP<sup>[1]</sup>

Uses Intel TSX to look for gadgets in host application

- write()/read() are OCALLs
- OCALL functions are identified
- If the enclave does not use the functions, SGXPecial blocks the functions from executing



[1] Practical enclave malware with Intel SGX. In International Conference on Detection of Intrusions and Malware, and Vulnerability Assessment (DIMVA 2019)

### Conclusion

- We presented SGXPecial: Modifies SGX SDK to generate interfaces specialized to the current application and enclave.
- SGXPecial performs: Function-level, Argument-level and Type-based specialization.
- Tested SGXPecial with sample and real-world applications and show that real code reuse exploits can be prevented.

For questions/ comments/ concerns: Reg shmishra@cs.stonybrook.edu

## Thank You!

## **Backup Slides**

#### Hybrid Attack

Code Reuse is generally used as first step in a hybrid attack

ROP gadgets are Turing complete, but are complex to implement
 ROP is used as first step to attain an "Executable Memory"



Writing a "shellcode" to the memory and executing - Code Injection Attack

#### SGX Runtime Environment

Trusted and Untrusted Runtime Systems communicate over SGX's Interface



### TeeRex<sup>[1]</sup>

#### Public SGX Enclaves could be exploited by host applications



[1] Cloosters, T., Rodler, M., & Davi, L. (2020). TeeRex: Discovery and Exploitation of Memory Corruption Vulnerabilities in SGX Enclaves. In 29th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 20)

### SgxPecial

Specialize interface between enclave and host application

